

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/872,505 06/01/2001		Peter Andersen	670001-2002.6	2144	
20999	7590 04/12/2005		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			SWARTZ, R	SWARTZ, RODNEY P	
745 FIFTH A	.VENUE- 10TH FL. . NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1645		

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/872,50	5	ANDERSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Rodney P.	Swartz, Ph.D.	1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)□ Re	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)□ Cla 7)□ Cla	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8 and 17, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 424, subclass 248.1.
 - II. Claim 14, drawn to method of diagnosis using polypeptide, classified in class435, subclass 7.1.
 - III. Claim 15, drawn to method of immunization using polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1.
 - IV. Claims 9-12 and 17, drawn to DNA, vector, transformed cell, classified in class 536, subclass 23.7.
 - V. Claim 13, drawn to method of using DNA to make polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 93.2.
 - VI. Claim 16, drawn to antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 139.1.

Claim 17 is a pharmaceutical composition comprising polypeptide **or** DNA **or** transformed cell. Therefore, claim 17 has been included into multiple inventions where appropriate. Election of a **single** invention which includes claim 17 necesitates that claim 17 is directed **only** to the elected invention. Appropriate amendment of claim 17 is required upon election to recite only the elected invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polypeptide of Invention I can be utilized to immunize a host against infection with mycobacteria.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polypeptide of Invention I can be utilized for *in vitro* diagnosis of infection with mycobacteria.

Inventions I and IV-VI are drawn to structurally and functionally distinct molecules.

Invention I is drawn to polypeptide while Inventions IV and V are drawn to nucleic acids and Invention VI is drawn to antibody.

Invention II and III are drawn to patently distinct methods which utilize different steps and have different results. Invention II is a method of diagnosis while Invention III is a method of immunization.

Invention II and IV-VI are drawn to structurally and functionally distinct molecules.

Invention II is drawn to polypeptide while Inventions IV and V are drawn to nucleic acids and Invention VI is drawn to antibody.

Invention III and IV-VI are drawn to structurally and functionally distinct molecules.

Invention III is drawn to polypeptide while Inventions IV and V are drawn to nucleic acids and Invention VI is drawn to antibody.

Inventions IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using

Application/Control Number: 09/872,505 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the DNA, vector, and transformed cells of Invention IV can be utilized to immunize hosts against infection with mycobacteria.

Invention IV and VI are drawn to structurally and functionally distinct molecules.

Invention IV is drawn to nucleic acids while Invention VI is drawn to antibody.

Invention V and VI are drawn to structurally and functionally distinct molecules.

Invention V is drawn to nucleic acids while Invention VI is drawn to antibody.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because while the searches may overlap, the searches are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Art Unit 1645, whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 1645

272-0865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F. Smith, can be reached on (571)272-0864.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 1645

April 11, 2005